Determination of bioactive properties of food grade extracts from Icelandic edible brown seaweed sugar kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) with in vitro human cell cultures (THP-1).
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Sugar kelp (*Saccharina latissima*, formerly known as *Laminaria saccharina*) is a brown seaweed which naturally occurs in the North Atlantic. Seaweeds may be one of the last natural food resources abundantly available. They are known to contain many compounds which may have additional functional benefits. This edible seaweed is characterized by high content of nutrients including carbohydrates and polyphenols, which are recognized antioxidants. Because their natural environment is a 3% saline solution, they are very resistant to mild extraction methods. However, extracts from solvent extractions usually contain residual solvents, making them unacceptable for high quality functional foods and high end cosmetics. The objective of this study was to test the biological properties of three extracts from sugar kelp (*Saccharina latissima*).
Methods: Cold water, hot water, and ethanol: water (70:30 v/v) extracts were prepared. Total Carbohydrate Content (TCC) was determined by the phenol – sulphuric acid method and values were expressed as mg of fucose/g of dry extract. Total Polyphenol Content (TPC) was determined and expressed as mg of Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE)/100g of dry extract. Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) assay was performed for all extracts and values were expressed as µM of Trolox® Equivalent/g of dry extract. Human leukemia monocytic cell line (THP-1) was used to investigate the bioactivity of Saccharina extracts. Extracts were applied to PMA differentiated THP-1 cells. Cytotoxicity of derived extracts was assessed by light microscopy followed by XTT proliferation assay. Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were performed to determine secretion of interleukin – 10 (IL-10), tumor necrosis factor – α (TNF-α) and interleukin – 6 (IL-6).

Results: The cold water extract exhibited very toxic properties toward macrophages and was thereby excluded from the experimental proceedings with use of the macrophages. Among all the tested extracts, the hot water extract was richest in sugars (682±243 mg fucose/g dry extract) and polyphenols (96.5±5.6 mg GAE/g dry extract), which was correlated to the determined ORAC values (1686±99 μM TE/g dry extract). The addition of hot water and ethanol extracts at concentrations 100 μg/ml triggered secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α suggesting immunomodulatory properties of Saccharina extracts toward macrophages.

Conclusions: The present study suggests that carbohydrate enriched extracts from Icelandic edible seaweed Saccharina latissima have antioxidant and immunomodulatory properties towards human THP-1 derived macrophages. The carbohydrate and polyphenol correlated with ORAC values confirming antioxidant properties of the derived extracts. The hot water extract affected the pro-inflammatory (TNF-α) and anti-inflammatory (IL-10) cytokine secretion in macrophages, suggesting their bioactivity through immunomodulatory actions and can be considered for practical applications in functional foods and cosmetics.
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INTRODUCTION

Marine macro algae have been widely consumed for centuries and is a recognized source of food [1]. In Iceland, selected seaweed species like dulse (Palmaria palmata) are a part of the traditional diet, providing various components such as iodine and vitamin C. However, despite progress in research on the composition and nutrition of macro algae, there is a lack of information on the impact of seaweed bioactivity on the human body.

Seaweeds are classified into three groups based on their photosynthetic pigmentation: brown algae (Phaeophyta), red algae (Rhodophyta), and green algae (Chlorophyta) [2]. Seaweed groups vary in nutritional and chemical composition containing different levels of constituents such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, ash, minerals, and fibers [3-5].

Sugar kelp (Saccharina latissima, previously known as Laminaria saccharina and Fucus saccharinus) is a brown seaweed that commonly occurs in the North Atlantic, including rocky shores of Iceland [6]. In the past, wild grown kelps were harvested directly from the sea. Today, sugar kelp is farmed on ropes and is commercially available throughout the year. Sugar kelp has been appreciated in Japanese cuisine for its sweet flavor, mineral abundance (calcium,
potassium, manganese, iron), and iodine content [7, 8]. Furthermore, *Saccharina* is a recognized source of polysaccharides including fucoids, alginates, and laminarans exhibiting several bioactives properties [9]. Sugar kelp also contains phlorotannins (polyphenols) but their content is not as high compared to other brown seaweed species like *Fucus vesiculosus* and *Fucus serratus* [1, 10]. However, despite its richness in nutrients, *Fucus* is not tasty and therefore undesirable in foods. *Saccharina latissima* is more palatable due to its high carbohydrate content, having wide applications for foods [7].

In addition to its nutritional properties, *Saccharina* may also provide numerous phytochemicals that could have applications outside the food sector, including medical and cosmetic [11]. Polysaccharides and polyphenols present in brown algae have been recognized as beneficial to health due to their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti–angiogenic, and anti–cancer properties [2].

For the past decade, the chemical composition of macro algae has been investigated, providing valuable information on seaweed constituents [3, 12, 13]. Various research methods have been used to determine bioactive properties of macro algae including in vitro models using human derived cell lines like Hep – 29 (liver model), Caco-2 (small intestine), or THP – 1 (immune responses). Macrophage cell model (THP – 1) is a cell model commonly used to investigate the bioactivity of food and pharmaceutical compounds [14].

Macrophages are monocyte derived cells with a great capacity for phagocytosis of dying cells and various pathogens [15]. This feature makes macrophages a key player in the innate immune system. Activated macrophages secrete a range of cytokines that involve other cells to initiate responses from an adaptive immune system [16].

Cytokines are small extracellular signaling peptides and glycoproteins that modulate the immunological responses including inflammation [17]. A well-known cytokine is the tumor necrosis factor – α (TNF – α) which is an early response pro–inflammatory cytokine followed by secretion of pro – inflammatory cytokine interleukin – 6 (IL-6) and later by secretion of anti–inflammatory cytokines including interleukin – 10 (IL – 10) [18].

Despite recent progress in seaweed research, there is still little data on the potential effects of seaweed derived compounds on human macrophages. The purpose of this study was to evaluate bioactivity and chemical properties of food grade water and ethanol based extracts derived from Icelandic edible brown seaweed *Saccharina latissima* (sugar kelp) to improve understanding of marine macro algae properties with respect to human health, especially the immune system. The extracts were further evaluated with methods used conventionally to evaluate antioxidant activity like oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay, total polyphenol content (TPC) and total carbohydrate content (TCC).

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Reagents**

Dried sugar kelp harvested between May and July (*Saccharina latissima*) was purchased from Icelandic Blue Mussel and Seaweed ehf. (Stykkisholmur, Iceland). Ethanol 96% (#24105), phenol (#P1037), sulphuric acid 95 – 97% (#07208), L – fucose (#F2252), Folin and Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent (#F9252), anhydrous sodium carbonate (#31432), gallic acid (#G7384), fluorescein (#46960), 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionamide) dihydrochloride (AAPH) (#440914), (±)-6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox®) (#238813), phorbol 12 – myristate 13 – acetate (PMA) (#P1585) and 2- mercaptoethanol
Cold water extraction
Cold water extraction was prepared to imitate raw food. A sample of 10 g of dried Saccharina was rinsed three times with deionized water and minced into pulp in a food processor (Braun CombiMax 600, Germany) for 2 min. The pulp was transferred together with 1000 ml of deionized water to a 2000 ml Erlenmeyer flask wrapped in aluminum foil to minimize exposure to light which was placed on a platform shaker for 3 h at room temperature. All samples were in triplicates and the solution was collected in 50 ml polypropylene tubes with screw caps (430291, Corning, UK) and centrifuged (centrifuge TJ20, Beckman Coulter, CA) for 15 min at 3000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred into aluminum trays for freezing (−20°C) prior to lyophilisation (Heto model FD2.5, Heto Lab Equipment, Allerød, 114 Denmark).

Hot water extraction
Hot water extraction was prepared using commonly available cooking recipes including edible seaweed species. A sample of 10 g of dried seaweed was rinsed three times with deionized water. The rinsed seaweed was then minced into pulp in a food processor (Braun CombiMax 600, Germany) for 2 min. The pulp was transferred together with 1000 ml of deionized water to a 2000 ml Erlenmeyer flask wrapped in aluminum foil to minimize exposure to light and placed in a water bath preheated to 95°C and kept at 95°C for 30 min. Then the solution was brought to room temperature and the liquid with tissue material was collected and centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 rpm (centrifuge TJ20, Beckman Coulter, CA). Supernatants were frozen at −20°C followed by lyophilisation (Heto model FD2.5, Heto Lab Equipment, Allerød, 114 Denmark).

Ethanol extraction
Ethanol extraction was performed to imitate process of marinating in high percentage alcohol. A sample of 10 g of dried seaweed was rinsed 3x with ion exchanged water followed by 2 min mincing in a food processor. The pulp was transferred into 1000 ml Erlenmeyer flask and 250 ml of 70% ethanol was added into a pre-prepared sample. The flask was wrapped in aluminum foil and placed on shaker at room temperature for 24 h. After the incubation, liquid and algal tissue remains were collected and centrifuged as described above. The volume of collected supernatant was decreased by rotary evaporation at 42°C and then transferred to a freezer at −80°C, rather than the -20°C like the water extracts due to the low freezing point of ethanol, and later freeze dried (Heto model FD2.5, Heto Lab Equipment, Allerød, Denmark)
**Determination of Total Carbohydrate Content (TCC)**

The Total Carbohydrate Content (TCC) of the extracts was determined by phenol–sulphuric acid method optimized for microplate by Masuko, Minami [19]. A sample of 10 mg of seaweed extracts were dissolved in 1 ml of distilled water. Standards were prepared at concentration range 0 to 1 mg/ml of L–fucose. An aliquot of 50 μl of sample or standard were added into PureGrade 96–well flat bottom transparent microplate (#781602, Brand GmbH + CO KG, Wertheim, Germany) followed by immediate addition of 150 μl of sulphuric acid (96%) and 30 μl of phenol (5%). The plate was placed for 5 min into an incubator preheated to 90 °C. After incubation the plate was cooled to ambient temperature and the absorbance was measured at 490 nm in a Multiskan Spectrum spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Marietta, OH). The results were expressed as mg of fucose/g extract.

**Estimation of Total Polyphenol Content (TPC)**

The total polyphenol content (TPC) was determined by modified method of Turkmen, Velioglu [20]. A 10 mg sample of pulverized extract were dissolved in 1ml of distilled water. An aliquot of 20μl sample was mixed with 20μl of gallic acid standard and then mixed with 100 μl of Folin and Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent (10% in distilled water) in PureGrade 96–well flat bottom transparent microplate (#781602, Brand GmbH + CO KG, Wertheim, Germany). After 5 minutes, 80 μl of 7.5 % Na₂CO₃ solution was added. The plate was incubated for 30 minutes at 40°C and the absorbance was measured in b at 700 nm. Total polyphenol content was determined from a standard curve and expressed as grams of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per 100 g of dry extract.

**Determination of Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC)**

Both extracts were analyzed using a modified ORAC assay based on the method described by Ganske and Dell [21]. A 150 μl aliquot of 10 nM fluorescein was added into PureGrade 96–well flat bottom transparent microplate (#781602, Brand GmbH + CO KG, Wertheim, Germany), followed by addition of 25 μl of Trolox® or sample with ice cold 25 μl 120 nM 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionamide) dihydrochloride (AAPH). After adding AAPH, the plate was immediately placed into preheated 37 °C SpectraMax M3 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, CA) and shaken for 15 seconds before measurement was initiated. Readings were performed at 1 min intervals for 80 min at 37 °C at 485 nm excitation and 580 nm emission. A 515 nm cut-off filter was used for emission for signal improvement. ORAC calculations were performed using the SoftMax Pro software (Molecular Devices, CA) which was integrated in the microplate reader calculation tool. (Molecular Devices, CA). ORAC values were expressed as μM of Trolox® Equivalent (MTE)/ g of dry extract using the calibration curve for Trolox®.

**Cell culture**

Cell culture experiments were carried out with THP – 1 human monocyctic cell line (#ATCC TIB – 202, LGC Standards GmbH, Wesel, Germany) in RPMI GlutaMAX™ medium supplemented with Penicillin/Streptomycin, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 20 μM 2– mercaptoethanol in the incubator (Thermo Forma 311 Model, Thermo Electron Corporation, Marietta, OH) at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity. To differentiate monocytes into macrophages the monocytes were seeded in 12–well plates (#351143, Beckton Dickinson
Labware Europe, Le Pont de Claix, France) at a density of 0.6 x 10^6 cells/ml of complete growth medium and 200 ng/ml phorbol 12–myristate 13–acetate (PMA) was added into the culture media followed by 72 h incubation. After the incubation with PMA, cells were washed twice with pre–warmed 10mM phosphate buffer saline (PBS) without magnesium and calcium, pH = 7.4. Hot water and ethanol extracts were dissolved in medium and placed under UV light for 20 min followed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min (Thermo Scientific Heraeus Multifuge X3, Thermo Electron LED GmbH, Osterode, Germany). Prepared solutions were diluted and added to wells at concentration range (0 to 1000 µg/ml) for 24 h incubation. After incubation, the cells were examined and possible cytotoxicity was pre–determined by light microscopy.

**Cell viability assessment**

THP-1 cells were seeded in 96–well flat bottom transparent micro plate Microtest™ (#351172, Beckton Dickinson Labware Europe, Le Pont de Claix, France) at density of 5 x 10^4 cells per well and differentiated into macrophages with the same procedure as described above. Hot water and ethanol extracts were added to differentiated cells (THP–1 macrophages) at five concentrations: 0, 1, 10, 100, 500 and 1000 µg/ml followed by 24 h incubation. Cell viability was pre–assessed by light microscopic analysis and followed by 2,3-Bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide (XTT) proliferation assay (ATCC, Manassas, VA) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)**

After incubation the medium was collected, centrifuged at 3500 rpm (Centrifuge 5415R, Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) to remove cellular debris, and stored at – 80 °C until further analysis. The secretion of TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10 was determined in Multiskan Spectrum spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Marietta, OH) by ELISA following manufacturer’s instructions (Peprotech EC Ltd., London, UK).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were reported as the mean of the experiments plus/minus standard error of the mean (SEM). Each experimental condition was performed in triplicate. Data was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and SigmaStat 9.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). Significant differences in the means between groups were calculated using the Holm – Sidak multiple comparison which, according to SigmaStat, has more power than Bonferroni and Tukey.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Total Carbohydrate Content (TCC)**

TCC was highest (p<0.05) in the hot water extracts from *Saccharina latissima* at 682±243 mg carbohydrate/g extract while the cold water and ethanol extracts were less rich in carbohydrates at 512±182 mg carbohydrate/g dry extract and 294 ± 108 mg carbohydrate/g dry extract respectively (Table 1). Carbohydrate accumulation in seaweed tissue has been reported to be at its highest during the summer months [22]. For that reason, our extracts were prepared from *Saccharina latissima* harvested between May and July. The extraction conditions were
designed to imitate home cooking. Hot water extraction simulated simmering while preparing meals with seaweeds as a food ingredient, usually requiring about 30 minutes of cooking. The high water temperature helped rupture cell walls increasing extraction efficacy. The TCC content of *Saccharina latissima* has, to the best of our knowledge, not been reported but previous studies have shown that increased polarity of the extraction solvent resulted in increased yields for extracted carbohydrates for another type of brown seaweed (*Saccharina siliquastrum*) [23].

Table 1. Chemical properties of Saccharina extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts from Saccharina</th>
<th>Total Carbohydrate Content</th>
<th>Total Polyphenol Content</th>
<th>Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mg fucose/ g dry extract)</td>
<td>(mg GAE/ 100g dry extract)</td>
<td>(µM T.E/ g dry extract)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water extract</td>
<td>512 ± 182&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32 ± 3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>259 ± 37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot water extract</td>
<td>682 ± 243&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>97 ± 6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1686 ± 99&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>294 ± 108&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38 ± 6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1177 ± 109&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Values are mean ± standard deviation. Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly (p>0.05) different

Total Polyphenol Content (TPC)

The hot water extract showed the highest (p<0.001) TPC at 97±6 mg GAE/100g dry extract compared to 32±3 mg GAE/100g dry extract for cold water extract and 38±6 mg GAE/100g dry extract for the ethanol extracts (Table 1). However, the difference was not significant between cold water and ethanol extract (p=0.226). As previously reported, the type of solvent and extraction temperature have a significant impact on TPC where acetone at 56°C has been reported to give the best results [10, 24]. Similar to the carbohydrate extraction, both higher temperature and increased solvent polarity increased efficiency for extracted polyphenols. Despite the unquestionable advantage of acetone over other solvents such as water or ethanol with respect to concentration of extracted compounds, a decision was made for this study to use water and 70% of ethanol to minimize toxicity of the extracts due to the possibility of presence of organic solvent residues in the extracts which may be harmful to the *in vitro* macrophage culture used to evaluate bioactivity. Additionally, the demands from end-users of bioactives in functional foods and cosmetics are increasingly towards non organic solvent extracts or extracts that are fully food grade.
As expected, TPC in all three extracts was lower compared to other brown seaweed species such as *Fucus vesiculosus* or other representatives from the family *Fucaceae* [1]. The polyphenol content was reported to vary between seaweed species and exhibit seasonal variation, with the highest peak during the summer and early autumn months [25]. A new blade (leaf-like structure) of sugar kelp used to prepare all three extracts was harvested during summer. Therefore, TPC content was at its highest for this species which is also linked to the age of the seaweed blades (leaf like structures), depth of seaweed cultivation, and access to sunlight [10]. However, sugar kelp was selected for this study due to its high carbohydrate content which gives a pleasant taste and may have potential pro-health properties.

**Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) assay**

All the Saccharina extracts varied (p < 0.01) in their ORAC values with the highest values for ORAC observed in the hot water and ethanol extracts at 1686±99 and 1177 ± 109 µM T.E/g dry extract. The cold water extract gave the lowest ORAC values at 259 ± 37 µM T.E/g dry extract (Table 1). The ORAC values were dependent on the polarity of the solvent and the applied temperature during the extraction processes, which is in agreement with previously reported research [10]. Properties of scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) increased with polarity and temperature of the extraction. Measurements confirmed that the hot water extraction gave extracts with the highest antioxidant content. Cold water extracts were not as rich in antioxidant compounds. *Saccharina latissima* did not present very high capacity to scavenge peroxyl radicals compared to other brown seaweeds species such as *Fucus vesiculosus* and *Fucus serratus* [10]. However, Wang et al. demonstrated results [10] which were confirmed by our in this study that the extraction method plays a key role in extract composition and properties. As mentioned above, organic solvents such as acetone give extracts which are comparatively high in antioxidant compounds but may contain residual solvents that are hazardous to the cells used for the *in vitro* cell cultures in the bioactivity measurements and may be less desirable for many commercial applications, especially with the increased demand for components obtained by “greener” production methods. The data correspond well with observations, demonstrating that polyphenols and carbohydrates are efficient in scavenging free radicals [26, 27].

**Cell viability assessment**

The 2,3-Bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide (XTT) cytotoxicity assay was performed to evaluate whether extracts could safely be applied to macrophages. The quality of the extracts is a key issue while working with *in vitro* cell models due to cellular sensitivity toward toxins, organic solvents residues, and other impurities that may negatively impact the viability of cultured cells. The hot water extract did not significantly decrease proliferation of THP-1 macrophages at 1 and 10 µg/ml concentrations (Fig. 1) where viability was 90±14 % and 83±11 % compared to the control at 100%.
However, the cell viability decreased from 64±7 % to 35±3% in the hot water extracts at 100 to 1000 µg/ml. Similarly, cell viability was not affected by application of ethanol extracts at 1 and 10 µg/ml concentrations with viability at 92±2 % and 89±1% (n=3, p ≥0.25) respectively. The cytotoxic effect of ethanol was reduced to 73±12% at 100 µg/ml and was reduced to 30±2% at 1000 µg/ml. The XTT results corresponded well with the microscopic observations and indicates decisive cytotoxicity of the hot water and ethanol extracts at ≥ 100 µg/ml concentrations (Fig. 2). In contrast to the hot water and ethanol extracts, cold water extracts were much more cytotoxic to the cells, even at low concentrations (10µg/ml) evaluated by microscope (Fig. 2). Accordingly, they were not evaluated further with the XTT proliferation assay. The observed cytotoxic effect of the hot water and ethanol extracts could be caused by generation of ROS by activated macrophages that lead to oxidative stress affecting the cell membrane integrity causing the cell damage [28]. The cytotoxicity of the cold water extracts might have been caused by the presence of microbial toxins and environmental impurities on the surface of the seaweed samples, rather than ROS generation. Increased temperature during extraction or use of 70 % ethanol is known to minimize the presence of microbial flora, especially spores, on the surface of seaweed tissue, improving safety of derived extracts towards macrophages [29].
Figure 2. Microscopic pre-evaluation of cellular viability in the presence of cold-water, hot-water and ethanol extracts after 24h incubation with Saccharina extracts.

Cytokine secretion into media
Bioactivity of hot water and ethanol extracts toward macrophages was evaluated by ELISA. Cytokine secretion was interpreted as an indication of bioactivity of extracts toward macrophages. We determined secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as the tumor necrosis factor–α (TNF–α) and interleukin–6 (IL–6), followed by secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin–10 (IL–10). Both extracts induced secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF–α at 100 µg/ml suggesting immune modulatory properties of both the hot water and ethanol extracts [30]. The cell viability was not reduced until extract concentration was at 100 µg/ml (Fig.1). Therefore, the TNF–α extracts were not tested at the lower concentrations.

The TNF–α for hot water extract increased from 197±38 pg/ml at 100 µg/ml to 1625±127 pg/ml at 500 µg/ml (Fig. 3) but was reduced again at a higher concentration of 1000 µg/ml. This may possibly be linked to the extract cytotoxicity, resulting in decreased number of cells. The ethanol extract also induced secretion of TNF–α to 594±5 at 100 µg/ml with an increase to 1120±44 pg/ml at 500 µg/ml concentrations (Fig. 3) and was also reduced at higher concentration. The tumor necrosis factor is known for its regulatory activities, triggering secretions of other cytokines such as IL–1 and IL–6, growth factors (transforming growth factor β, platelet derived growth factor) and hormones such as adrenaline [31]. TNF–α counteracts infection, mediating the inflammation, by increase of migration of phagocytes into tissue [32]. However, excessive secretion of this pro-inflammatory cytokine might lead to inflammatory diseases [33].
Figure 3. Secretion of proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α from THP-1 cells in the presence of hot-water and ethanol extracts (n=3).

Figure 4. Secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 from THP-1 cells in the presence of hot-water extract (n=3).
Interleukin–6 is a cytokine involved in various biological processes including inflammation and immune regulation [34]. IL–6 is necessary for life functions, but similar to TNF–α, its continued elevated levels may lead to inflammation diseases [35]. Moreover, excessive levels of IL–6 are secreted in the presence of endotoxins [36]. Endotoxins are present in cell membranes of Gram negative bacteria and contain lipopolysaccharides (LPS) that show very strong toxic properties [37]. In this research, none of the extracts triggered secretion of pro–inflammatory cytokine IL–6. No secretion of IL–6 may indicate that hot water and ethanol extracts were not contaminated with endotoxin and therefore more safe toward macrophages [36].

Interleukin–10 is anti–inflammatory cytokine, which secretion appears as a response to presence of pro–inflammatory cytokines including TNF–α and IL–6. Secretion of IL–10 was observed only for hot water extracts at 500 and 1000 µg/ml concentrations (588 ± 49 and 678± 40 pg/ml, p = 0.3) (Fig. 4). The ethanol extracts did not induce IL–10 secretion at detectable levels. Anti – inflammatory cytokine IL–10 was secreted after application of 500 and 1000 µg/ml of hot water extracts indicating positive bioactivity of the extract towards human cells.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study suggests that carbohydrate enriched extracts from Icelandic edible seaweed *Saccharina latissima* have antioxidant and immunomodulatory properties towards human THP–1 derived macrophages. The carbohydrate and polyphenol content correlated with ORAC values confirming antioxidant properties of the extracts. The hot water extract affected the pro–inflammatory (TNF–α) and anti–inflammatory (IL–10) cytokine secretion in macrophages, suggesting their bioactivity through immunomodulatory actions. Both the hot water and ethanol extracts had low cytotoxic effects rendering them safe for human macrophages and therefore may be used in functional foods and high end cosmetics.
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